

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/829,196	04/22/2004	Eiichi Matsuzaki	02910.101387	5549
	5514 7590 10/19/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
				YENKE, BRIAN P	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2622	
					
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/829,196	MATSUZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amer		•			
,-	·—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 Aug 07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 Applicant states that Daigi et al., WO 02/37847 (previous art used in the rejection) does not disclose the newly added independent claims.

In order for the examiner to properly address such a broad argument, the examiner requests the applicant to explicitly recite which limitation(s) are lacking from the reference in order to expedite prosecution, since these claims appear to resemble the previous version which were anticipated by the prior art.

Initially the examiner notes that the newly submitted claims appear similar to the previous claims rejected by the examiner, in addition the current claims do not recite "the selection/selecting step" as previously claimed. Thus the examiner has maintained the previous rejection as shown below.

2. The examiner would also like the applicant to identify which figure the claims (including the independent and dependent) pertain to. Since the applicant had previously elected Species 1/Figure 1, the examiner presumes the applicant has included claims to pertain to such original election which would preclude an "Original Presentation" restriction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2622

Claims 19-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) claims 22-23 and 28-29 contain subject matter which was not described in the specification (i.e. elected Fig 1) in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added claims include subject matter which was not explicitly recite in the original disclosure, such as: "third motion" and "fourth motion" as recited in the claims, thus the examiner requests the applicant to identify via Figure 1 (since this is the presumed elected species) where these limitations are met by, and also lines/columns of the disclosure which supports such limitations, in order to avoid a "New Matter, 112" rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-21, 22, 24-27 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daigi et al., WO 02/37847.

In considering claims 19, 25 and 31,

- a) the claimed pixel information... is met by field memories 1 and 2 (Fig 1)
- b) the claimed reference pixel motion generating... is met by difference judgement circuit 5 and intermediate value selection circuit 4 (Fig 1, in detail Fig 3)
- c) the claimed reference pixel motion information storing...is met by intermediate value selection circuit 4 which receives/holds the motion information in order to select which interpolation is performed
- d) the claimed intrafield interpolation pixel motion information generating means...is met by interpolation circuit 3 (Fig 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196

Art Unit: 2622

e) the claimed interfield interpolation pixel motion information determining means... is met by the interpolation output of adder 33 and the interpolation value output from adder 34 (Fig 3).

f) the claimed interpolation...is met by intermediate value selection circuit (Fig 1) which includes a selection circuit 36 (Fig 3) which selects one of the interfield interpolation values or the intrafield interpolation value based upon the motion information from judgement circuit 5.

In considering claims 20 and 26,

Daigi discloses interpolation circuit 3 (intrafield), intermediate value selection circuit 4 which receives the output from judgement circuit 5 and also includes two interfield interpolators (via adder 33 and 34) and the selection is made/determined based upon the image being a still image or moving image.

In considering claims 21, 24, 27 and 30,,

Daigi discloses the computing/storing of at least 3 fields (meeting the one frame) wherein based upon the amount of motion or not will determine the interpolation performed (intrafield or interfield).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United

States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents.

Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

Art Unit: 2622

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B P.Y 16 Oct 07

BRIAN P. YENKE)
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6